UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 2:23-04156 JFW (ADS)		Date: <u>September 28, 2023</u>	
Title: Sinegal v. I	Randle		
Present: The Hone	orable Autumn D. Spaeth	, United States Magistrate Judge	
<u>Kristee Hopkins</u> Deputy Clerk		None Reported Court Reporter / Recorder	
Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): None Present		Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s): None Present	
Proceedings:	SHOULD NOT BE D	RDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY CASE ISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO DBEY COURT ORDERS	

On May 26, 2023, Plaintiff Eltwan Regis Sinegal, a California state prisoner, filed a Civil Rights Complaint ("Complaint) under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Dkt. No. 1.) On August 16, 2023, the Court dismissed the Complaint and granted Plaintiff leave to amend, among other options, by no later than September 7, 2023. (Dkt. No. 12.) As of the date of this order, the Court has not received Plaintiff's election or any response to the Order Dismissing Complaint with Leave to Amend from Plaintiff.

Plaintiff is hereby **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and obey court orders. <u>Plaintiff must file a written response by no later than **October 19, 2023**</u>. Plaintiff may respond to this Order to Show Cause by choosing from one of the three options the Court presented in its August 16, 2023 order.

Plaintiff is expressly warned that failure to timely file a response to this Order to Show Cause may result in a recommendation to the District Judge that this action be

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.: 2:23-04156 JFW (ADS)	Date: <u>September 28, 2023</u>		
Title: <u>Sinegal v. Randle</u>			
dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute and obey Court orders pursuant to			
Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).			

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Initials of Clerk \underline{kh}

CV-90 (03/15) – MM Civil Minutes – General Page **2** of **2**